

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,442	12/23/2004	Juha Kallio	59864.01052	5666
32294 7750 03242009 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			EXAMINER	
			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Арри
	10/51
Review	Nagn

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/517,442		KALLIO, JUHA	
		Art Unit	
Nagmeh Mehrpour		2617	

Part of Paper No. 20090316

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief	Request for Review filed 14 May 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Reque reason(s):</li> </ol>	st is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include re	een filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request, pasons why a review is appropriate, dded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request,
	ntinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under ap is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month from running from the receipt of the notice of	peals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee peal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an apper mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period (appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of R 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt dat
☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed:     ☐ Claim(s) objected to:     ☐ Claim(s) rejected: 28-58. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider.	status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conferraction will be mailed. No further action	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Dwayne D. Bost</u> .	(3) <u>Charles Appiah</u> .
(2) Nagmeh Mehrpour.	(4)
/Dwayne D. Bost/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	